The Effect of Drought Stress on Water Relations, Chlorophyll Content and Leaf Area in Canola Cultivars (Brassica napus L.)
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Abstract

This study was conducted to identify suitable irrigation regime and determination of the best canola cultivar to cultivation in dry and semi-dry regions, at the Agricultural Research Station of Islamic Azad University, Isfahan (Khorasgan) Branch in North-East of Isfahan. This experiment was performed as split-plot at the layout randomized complete block design with three replications during 2009-2010. The experimented factors included three levels of irrigation, viz; irrigation after 50, 100 and 150 mm evaporation from class A pan as the main plots and four canola cultivars entitled: Zarfam, Elite, SLM 046 and Okapi as sub-plots. In this experiment, chlorophyll content, Relative Water Content (RWC), Water Saturation Deficient (WSD), Leaf Water Content per unit leaf Area (LWCA) and Specific Leaf Area (SLA) were measured. The results showed that the non-significant effect of irrigation period on chlorophyll content, LWCA, RWC, WSD and SLA. According to the results obtained in this experiment, the most chlorophyll content were obtained by 150 mm irrigation and the most RWC were obtained by 50 mm irrigation. The cultivar effect on WSD and RWC was significant at 1% probability level so Elite and Zarafam had the most RWC and WSD. Overall, Elite was recognized as the most drought tolerant canola cultivars for suggest to farmers.
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1. Introduction

Drought stress comprises physical stresses that known as the most important limiting factor for plant growth and yield in most parts of the world [1]. Blum A [2] has stated drought is a multi-dimensional stress that affects plants in different levels of organization. In plant level, response to drought stress is complex because it is reflection of the combined effects of environmental stresses and corresponding reactions at all levels of organization plant in terms of time and place. From other research reported that the drought as the main factor for controlling the productions, is almost effective on all of the growth process of plant [3]. Researchers’ study results shows that with increasing drought stress, amount of RWC is reduced [4,5]. Loon C D [4] stated RWC values for irrigated plants are between 100 and 80 percent and for plants not irrigated are between 76 to 87 percent. Nasri et al [5] study also showed that the tolerant cultivars are better in relative leaf water content. Bansal K C and Nagarajans S [6] expressed RWC changes in different cultivars depends on inflation leaves under stress conditions at result, leaf area and photosynthesis amount are be effected. Their I D and Pitt M M [7] concluded that the amount of RWC with transpiration rate is a correlation, so this component can be used for determination of the different cultivars in terms of drought tolerance. One of important factors on canola yield, includes premature aging effect and the amount of pigments (chlorophyll) on accumulation of seed storage substances. Loss of green pigments causes reduction of production substances such as proteins (sap raised) that have direct contact with chlorophyll [12].

Taiz L and Zaigr E [8] expressed producing substances such as proteins is directly related to chlorophyll content, also photosynthesis as compared with leaf development has less sensitivity to pressure potential, therefore amount of photosynthesis per unit leaf area less than leaf development affected by average stress water. Shahriari R and Karimi I [9] also expressed that after drought stress, chlorophyll content in leaves of sensitive cultivars decreased but shows increase in resistant cultivars, the leaves of resistant cultivars show darker green than sensitive cultivars too, also rapid loss of chlorophyll in sensitive cultivars to stress terminate in reduction photosynthetic activity. Delkhoush B et al [10] reported significant effect of cultivar, irrigation and cultivar and irrigation interactions on chlorophyll a, b, and total. This study was achieved to identify the most drought tolerant
canola cultivars, also determine the drought stress effects on water relations of the studied canola cultivars.

2. Materials and Methods

This research was conducted at the Agricultural Research Station of Islamic Azad University, Khorasgan (Isfahan) Branch in North-East of Isfahan located in Khatoonabad village. This station is at 12 km North-East of Isfahan, longitude 51° 48’ E and latitude 32° 40’ north with altitude 1555 meters above sea. The climate based on Koopan classification is very hot-dry with dry summers. Long-term average annual rainfall and temperature of this region are 120 mm and 16°C respectively. Soil texture was medium with mean salt and electrical conductivity 4.3 ds/m (EC=4.3) and pH was in the normal range (pH=4.7) in 0-30 cm depth.

This experiment was performed as split-plot in the layout randomized complete block design with three replications in 2009-2010 as autumnal planting. In this study, three irrigation periods considered as the main-factor levels, including irrigation after 50, 100 and 150 mm evaporation from class A pan and Zarfam, Elite, Okapi and SLM 046 canola cultivars as the sub-factor levels. Area cultivation was considered 1800 m², length of each plot was considered 60 cm. Density was 30 plants per square meter. Planting operations performed on October 7th 2009. Operation of bed preparation were done by goose paw plough, field cultivator and leveler. Based on soil analysis results, 30 and 20 kg Urea fertilizer added simultaneous with operation of bed preparation and before renewed growth in late winter, as hand spray form and with water irrigation.

Irrigation was done four days before planting with 0.5 L Treflan herbicide. Weed Control was conducted chemical and mechanically. Five normal plants were randomly sampled from middle row in each sub-plot with considering border effects. Leaf area was measured by using “AM200 portable” model leaf area meter. To measure amount of chlorophyll a, b and total the standard method proposed by Arnon A N [11] was used. Therefore, 1 gr sample of total leaf samples of each sub-plot was prepared and with 5 ml acetone 80% were beaten in the porcelain mortar. Obtained extract was purified by filter paper. Remained leftovers in the mortar was completely washed by 10 ml of acetone and passed through from filter paper. Obtained samples were as completely homogenized liquid to 10 ml volume. By spectrophotometer device read optical absorption in wavelengths 663 and 645 nm. For this, first, device was calibrated by control sample of acetone 80%. Chlorophyll a, b and total for each sample were determined by using the following formulas:

\[

chl.a = \frac{(12/7 \times D_{663}) - (2/69 \times D_{645}) \times V}{1000 \times W}
\]

\[

chl.b = \frac{(22/9 \times D_{645}) - (4/68 \times D_{663}) \times V}{1000 \times W}
\]

\[

chl.T = \frac{(20/2 \times D_{645}) + (8/02 \times D_{663}) \times V}{1000 \times W}
\]

Where, “V” volume of purified solution, “W” wet weight and “D” are optical absorption in wavelengths 663 and 645 nm. In order to measure the Relative Water Content (RWC) and Water Saturation Deficient (WSD) five leaves randomly selected from each sub-plot and weighted. All sampling from farm was done in one day with maximum speed. Then samples put in distilled water and refrigerator temperature for 24 hours to leaves get inflammation fully. After weighting, the samples put in oven temperature 80°C for 72 hours to calculate dry weight of samples. Finally below formulas was considered to calculate RWC and WSD.

In this formula “FW” fresh weight, “DW” dry weight and “TW” are Turgor weight.

\[
WSD = 100 - RWC
\]

\[
%RWC = \frac{FW - DW}{TW - DW} \times 100
\]

Then, Specific leaf area (SLA) was determined by using fallowing formula: SLA=(leaf area/ leaf dry weight).

\[
SLA = \frac{leaf area}{leaf dry weight}
\]

Leaf water content per unit leaf area (LWCA): This index can be determined by this formula:

\[
LWCA = \frac{leaf dry weight - leaf wet weight}{leaf area}
\]

The SAS6.02 software was used for statistical analysis the data given from each experimental unit. Average of each five data was used in calculations.

3. Results and Discussion

The analysis of variance showed non-significant differences between the canola cultivars for total chlorophyll, chlorophyll a and b in four cultivars and different irrigation treatments. Also, the interaction effect of cultivar and irrigation treatment (Figure 1) was not significant. This revealed that different irrigation treatments have not significant effect on the four cultivars (Figure 2). Mean comparison, four cultivars were put into two separate groups about chlorophyll. About total chlorophyll (11.28) and chlorophyll-a (14.18) Elite had most amounts than other cultivars, while lowest total chlorophyll (11.28) and chlorophyll-a (11.59) given by Okapi. SLM had most amount of chlorophyll-b too. Effect of drought stress on chlorophyll depends on plant genotypes and environmental conditions; in some varieties, drought
stress reduces and in some varieties increases chlorophyll content. Shahriari R and Karimi I [9] reported significant differences in synthesis of chlorophyll in different plants during the stress.

In severe drought stress conditions chlorophyllase and peroxidase enzymes increased, at result, chlorophyll content decreased. In results obtained by Shariat A and Assareh M H [12] and Delkhoush B et al [10] has pointed the same reasons. Also, Shahriari R and Karimi I [9] were reported amount of chlorophyll leaves of resistant cultivars get increase in stress conditions. Results of Nasri M [5] indicated increasing content of chlorophyll-a, b in autumn rapeseed resistant cultivars under drought stress. Mean comparison for the effect of different irrigation treatments on the amounts of chlorophyll showed with increasing of drought stress severity, concentration of chlorophyll-a, b and total in leaves has increased however, all of the numbers were put in same group (Figures 1,2,3,4). Taize L and Zeiger E [8] expressed that water losses causes to increasing of contraction cells that at result, causes to increasing cell concentration solution. Maybe, mild stress may increase the concentration of chlorophyll per unit leaf area, but severe stress will stop making chlorophyll. These findings are in agreement with the results given by Shahriari R and Karimi I [9] and Ward K et al [13] results.

Analysis of variance showed that the affect of main factor on amount of Relative Water Content of leaves (RWC) and Water Saturation Deficient (WSD) is significant (P≤0.05) Also, the interaction of irrigation and cultivars were significant at 1% probability level.

Lowest average of RWC (Figure 5) observed in irrigation 150 mm and the highest in treatment 50 mm. Based on mean comparison, highest percentage RWC related to Elite and lowest related to Zarfam. Combined irrigation 150 mm and cultivar Zarfam, lowest and combined SLM and irrigation 50 mm produced highest amount of RWC (Figure 5). Also the highest and lowest amount of WSD were observed in Zarfam (23.12%) and Elite (16.63%) respectively. Cultivar Zarfam affected by treatment 150 mm produced most and cultivar SLM affected
by treatment 50 mm produced lowest amount related to WSD (Figure 6). Because, Relative Water Content (RWC) contains amount of available water in leaf, increasing stress causes to decreasing it. Nasri M et al [5] declared that simple correlation coefficient between RWC and drought resistance was %99. Kaiser W M [14] findings also shows that sever reduction in RWC (less than 35%) that occur under extreme stresses can cause to cell death. Bansal K C and Nagarajans S [6] and Clarke J M and Coig M C [15] showed that stress-resistant cultivars have higher RWC, which is in agreement with the results of this study.

Comparison averages showed that highest leaf area (Figure 4) and SLA (Figure 7) is obtained in treatment 50 mm, about this, Elite and SLM had highest values. Comparison average of LWCA index showed that highest level was related to cultivar Elite (0.054 g/cm) and lowest level was related to SLM (0.039). Treatment 100 mm (0.054) had highest and control treatment (0.04) lowest amount, although this difference won't significant. As observed, cultivar Elite had more amount of chlorophyll-a and total than other cultivars; However, this cultivar possessed highest levels of chlorophyll-b, after cultivar S.L.M. highest leaf relative water content (RWC) and amount of LWCA and lowest WSD (Figure 6) related to this cultivar. Overall, considering the results of this research, Elite recommended as more tolerant into drought stress and irrigation after 50 mm evaporation of A pan recommended as appropriate pattern for irrigation in dry and semi-dry regions.
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