Growth, Yield, Yield Components and Water-Use Efficiency in Irrigated Cantaloupes under Full and Deficit Irrigation
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Abstract

Water scarcity is a limiting factor for crop production in arid and semi-arid regions. To evaluating effects of deficit irrigation (DI) on growth, yield components and water-use efficiency (WUE) in cantaloupe crop (Cucumis melo L.) under semi-arid conditions, a field experiment based randomized completely block design (RCBD) with three replications was conducted. In this experiment, three irrigation treatments consisted of 60 (I60), 80 (I80), and 100% ETc (I100, Control) were applied during the growing season. Based on the results, the highest total crop yield (30.3 t ha⁻¹), mean number of fruits per plant (4.9), mean fruit weight (1.508 g), plant main stem length (194.6 cm) and total leaf area (24.375 cm²) were obtained in I100, while highest chlorophyll (Chl) a, total Chl content and Chl stability index obtained at I80 treatment. Moderate water stress (I80) did not reduce cantaloupe yield but led to increase WUE and total soluble solids (TSS). Under I60 treatment, the yield was reduced by 35.3% mainly due to decrease of fruit weight, while value of WUE (0.89 t ha⁻¹ cm⁻¹) in this treatment was 45.9% greater than I100. Maximum value of TSS (9.3%) was achieved at I60. Based on the total fruit yield, the preferable level of DI for cantaloupe production is I80, and in region that goal is preserving water resources, I60 treatment, recommended.
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1. Introduction

Cantaloupe (Cucumis melo L. var. reticulatus ‘Naudin’) is one of the most important vegetable crops in Iran. So that, it lies in fifth place after tomato, cucumber, watermelon and melon, in terms of cultivated area and production. Climatic conditions of Iran are desirable for cantaloupe production, but water scarcity is a limiting factor for its production [1]. Since, dry and semi-dry regions are comprised about 65% of Iran area, and average rain fall in these areas is less than 150 mm per year [2]. So in Iran drought stress is one of the most important environmental stresses which affect on most growth and physiological aspects of plants and causes huge damages to agriculture products every year [3].

Giving the right amount of water to irrigate the melon is crucial to get maximum yield and save more water for domestic and agricultural purposes. On the one hands, excessive irrigation can damage melon and cause fruit quality problems, leading to reduction of yield, lower fruit quality characteristics and increase sensitivity of plant to fungal diseases [4]. On the other, severe water deficit produces smaller fruits [5], and lower yields in melon [4] and muskmelon [6].

Deficit irrigation (DI) has been widely investigated as a valuable and sustainable production strategy in dry and semi-dry regions. This strategy aims to maximize water productivity and to stabilize yields. DI is successful in increasing water productivity for different crops without causing severe yield reduction [7]. Since the effects of DI on cantaloupe production poorly understood, the present study was, therefore carried out to evaluate the effects of DI levels on crop yield, yield components, total soluble solids (TSS), water-use efficiency (WUE) and growth parameters in drip irrigated cantaloupes.

2. Materials and Methods

Experimental site

The experiment was conducted from June to September, 2013 in a research field (35° 28' N; 51° 41' E; 1021 m) at College of Aburaihan, University of Tehran, Pakdasht, Iran. The research site was located in an semi-arid climate with mean of maximum and minimum temperature of 22.6 and 10.7°C, respectively. The soil of the site was loamy and classified as ‘aridisol’. To characterize the properties of the soil, a composite sample (from 5 points) was collected from 0-30 cm depth and analyzed in the laboratory for pH, EC and particle size distribution. Details of soil chemical and physical properties of the site are shown in Table 1.

Experimental Design and Performance: The experiment was laid out as a randomized completely block design (RCBD) with three replications. It comprised of three irrigation treatments including 60, 80 and 100% crop evapotranspiration (ETc), to
induce a range of water stress from transplanting and harvest stages.

The seeds of ‘Samsouri’ - one of the most commercial cultivars of cantaloupe - were sown manually on June 6th, in trays containing peat-moss, under greenhouse conditions. 14-day seedlings were transplanted to the main site. They were randomly distributed on three replicates. The net size of each plot was 6.0 m long and 3.0 m wide. A buffer zone of 3.0 m spacing was provided between plots. Two lines of raised beds in length of 6.0 m were prepared and mulched with plastic polyethylene after installing a drip irrigation system in each plot. The width of the beds was 1.5 m, and the space between plants within rows was considered 50 cm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil texture</th>
<th>PH</th>
<th>EC (ds m⁻¹)</th>
<th>N (ppm)</th>
<th>P (ppm)</th>
<th>K (ppm)</th>
<th>Mn (ppm)</th>
<th>Fe (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loam</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>525.3</td>
<td>29.64</td>
<td>11.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recommended levels of N (450 kg ha⁻¹), P (100 kg ha⁻¹) and K (100 kg ha⁻¹) were used as NPK (20-20-20). Necessary operations such as pests and diseases control were done according to general local practices and recommendations. Also, weeds control was performed, manually.

**Irrigation Treatments:** The irrigation treatments were applied, from 20 June to 18 September, though the regimes were scheduled weekly. The weekly ETc was calculated from the equation ETc = ETo × Crop Coefficient (Kc) [8]. The ETo was estimated by the Penman-Monteith method [9] using daily data from a meteorological station sited in the experimental field. The crop coefficient (Kc) adopted during the crop season was obtained in previous years in the same conditions. Because the effective rainfall was negligible, the water doses to be applied in each treatment were calculated as the ratio between the ETc of the previous week (net water requirements) and the efficiency of the system estimated at 0.81 [10]. This result was divided by the number of days to obtain the daily irrigation requirements. The control of the amount of water supplied, and thus the deviations of the true amount applied from the planned, was made using water meters installed at the outflow of each electrovalve supplying the water for each treatment.

**Traits Investigated:** Plant heights and total leaf area were the growth parameters measured after 90 days (the end of vegetative growth) from transplanting date. Total leaf area of the plant was measured by a Leaf Area Meter (AM 200, ADC Bio Scientific Ltd. UK). Chl concentration was calculated using methods described by Ronen and Galun [11] and based on following equations:

Chl a (gr/l) = (0.0127)(OD663) - (0.00269)(OD645)
Chl b (gr/l) = (0.0229)(OD645) - (0.00468)(OD663)
Total Chl (gr/l) = (0.0202)(OD645) + (0.00802)(OD663)

OD: Optical Density

The Chl stability index (CSI) was determined according to Sairam et al. [12] and calculated as follows:

\[ CSI(\%) = \left(\frac{\text{Total Chl under stress}}{\text{Total Chl under control}}\right) \times 100 \]

To measure the leaf Relative Water Content (RWC), Water Saturation Deficit (WSD), Leaf Water Content (LWC) and leaf water content per unit leaf area (LWCA), three leaves was sampled from one plant per plot. Then, the leaves were wrapped immediately in aluminum foil, put in a plastic bag and kept in a cool place. Fresh weight was determined one h after cutting. Turgid weight was determined as follows: the leaves were held in distilled water at room temperature (approximately 4°C) for 24 h; then, they were quickly and carefully dried by tissue; and their turgid weight was determined; next, the samples were then dried in an oven at 70°C for 24 h and weighed [13]. Finally, RWC, WSD, LWCA and LWC were calculated using the following equation:

\[ \text{RWC}(\%) = \left(\frac{\text{FW} - \text{DW}}{\text{TW} - \text{DW}}\right) \times 100 \]
\[ \text{WSD}(\%) = 100 - \text{RWC} \]
\[ \text{LWCA} = \left(\frac{\text{FW} - \text{DW}}{\text{L}}\right) \times 100 \]
\[ \text{LWCA} = \left(\frac{\text{FW} - \text{DW}}{\text{TW} - \text{DW}}\right) \times 100 \]

Where, FW, DW, TW and L are fresh weight (g), dry weight (g), turgid weight (g) and leaf area (Cm²) respectively.

The harvests started when fruits were fully mature. Total two pickings of cantaloupe were taken (15 and 20th September) and standard procedures were adopted for recording the data on crop yield and yield components. The main yield components recorded in this study were mean number of fruits per plant (MNFPP), mean fruit weight (MFW), total crop yield (TCY), total soluble solid (TSS) content, and WUE. MNFPP were measured by harvesting fruits of each plot. Each cantaloupe fruit was weighed in each harvest to determine MFW. TSS was determined from three samples taken randomly from harvested fruits of each plot. From the liquid extract obtained by liquefying the mesocarp of each
fruit, the TSS was measured by a handheld Refractometer (Gart Zeiss, Germany) and expressed as Brix. The weights of fruits from each plot were recorded at each peaking. Then, the TCY and WUE were determined for all treatments. The effectiveness of any crop to use water during its complete growth period is generally described in terms of WUE and is expressed as ratio of total crop yield to total of water applied to crop including effective rainfall during its complete growth period [14]. At the end, WUE were calculated using the formula:

\[ \text{WUE} = \frac{\text{CY}}{\text{WA}} \]

Where: CY = total crop yield, t ha\(^{-1}\); WA = total of water applied, cm\(^{-1}\).

### Table 2. Effects of deficit irrigation (DI) on main stem length, total leaf area, chlorophyll stability index (CSI), mean number fruit per plant (MNFPP) and mean fruit weight (MFW).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Irrigation (Etc%)</th>
<th>Main stem length (Cm)</th>
<th>Total leaf area (Cm(^2))</th>
<th>CSI (%)</th>
<th>MNFPP</th>
<th>MFW (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I(_{100})</td>
<td>194.7a</td>
<td>24375a</td>
<td>100ab</td>
<td>4.9a</td>
<td>1508a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I(_{80})</td>
<td>156.3b</td>
<td>15241b</td>
<td>145.7a</td>
<td>3.7a</td>
<td>1388a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I(_{60})</td>
<td>102.3c</td>
<td>10109c</td>
<td>87.2a</td>
<td>2.1b</td>
<td>1067b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Accordingly irrigation level at I\(_{100}\), increased significantly (p<0.01) the plant leaf area (24375 cm\(^2\)) than the other two irrigation levels, whereas decreased total leaf area under DI treatments (15241 and 10109 cm\(^2\) at I\(_{80}\) and I\(_{60}\), respectively) (Table 2).

The obtained results are generally similar to results found by Badr [15], Keshavarzpour and Rashidi [16] on cantaloupe and Cabello et al. [17] on melon. The main reason for reducing of stem height is usually decreasing leaching fraction, due to a reduction of the available water on active root-zone, which caused a disturbance in the physiological processes needed for plant growth.

DI can also alter the tissue concentrations of chlorophylls. In our DI treatments, the I\(_{80}\) significantly (p<0.05) increased CSI (145.73%), Chl a content (0.0029 mg g\(^{-1}\)) and total Chl content (0.0037 mg g\(^{-1}\)), while lowest CSI (87.2 %), Chl a content (0.0023 mg g\(^{-1}\)) and total Chl content (0.0027 mg g\(^{-1}\)) obtained at I\(_{60}\) (Table 2 and Figure 1).

Increased Chl content under moderate DI may be related to a decrease in leaf area. It can be a defensive response to reduce the harmful effects of drought stress [18]. Reduction of Chl content under higher water deficit further reported in Vaccinium myrtillus [19] and in sunflower plants [20].

The maximum values of MNFPP (4.9) and MFW (1508 g) were obtained by I\(_{100}\) treatment, while minimum value of NFPP (2.1) and MFW (1067 g) were noted in case of I\(_{60}\). However, the difference between 100 and 80% ETC was not significant (Table 2).

Also, irrigation levels significantly (p<0.01) affected TCY in the order of 100% > 80% > 60% ETC. The TCY was ranging between 30.3 t ha\(^{-1}\) for I\(_{100}\) and 19.6 t ha\(^{-1}\) for I\(_{60}\) (Figure 2).

**Figure 1.** Effect of irrigation levels on chlorophyll content.

**Figure 2.** Effect of irrigation levels on yield of cantaloupe
Cantaloupe is not very sensitive to moderate water deficits up to 20% of the ETc, but a water deficit of 40% can reduce yield by 35.3%. The favorable results which were obtained from the mentioned levels (I\textsubscript{80} and I\textsubscript{100}) might be due to adequate available soil moisture within the root-zone. This led to increase the various physiological processes as better uptake of nutrients, good plant growth, higher rates of photosynthesis, excess of dry matter accumulation which reflect and led to the best yields [21, 22, 23]. It was reported that increase of both auxins and gibberellins levels within the biological concentrations, promote cell division and cell size enlargement; hence, increase vegetative growth [24]. Conversely, it seems that the lower values of crop yield and yield components recorded in case of 60% ETc might be due to infrequent application of water resulting in lack of moisture in active crop root-zone, inadequate moisture conservation and poor utilization of nutrients.

TSS is an important factor in the appreciation of the flavour of cantaloupe [21, 16]. The values of fruit TSS ranged from 6.4% (in I\textsubscript{100}) to 9.3% (in I\textsubscript{60}). The highest value of TSS was found in treatment I\textsubscript{60} (Figure 3).

We found that RWC, LWCA and LWC decreased under DI, while WSD increased but with no significant differences between DI levels (Table 3). Although, most studies have shown decreased RWC, LWCA, LWC and increased WSD in responses to drought stress [31, 32].

**Table 3.** Comparisons of the means for of chlorophyll and growth parameters of cantaloupe under water deficit treatments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Irrigation (ETc%)</th>
<th>LWCA (%)</th>
<th>RWC (%)</th>
<th>WSD (%)</th>
<th>LWC (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I\textsubscript{100}</td>
<td>0.028a</td>
<td>61.8a</td>
<td>38.19a</td>
<td>599.36a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I\textsubscript{80}</td>
<td>0.022a</td>
<td>60.34a</td>
<td>39.66a</td>
<td>568.69a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I\textsubscript{60}</td>
<td>0.018a</td>
<td>57.6a</td>
<td>42.37a</td>
<td>542.83a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Within each column, values followed by the same letter are not significantly different at p< 0.05.

**Figure 3.** Effect of irrigation levels on Brix of cantaloupe

Under water deficit conditions, amount of starch reduced but amount of Brix increased, perhaps due to reduction of fruits number [25]. In other studies, TSS increased with decreasing amount of irrigation, too [17, 6].

This experiment shows that water was used more efficiently in the DI treatments. Our results indicated that DI markedly increased WUE. Highest WUE (0.89 t ha\textsuperscript{-1} cm\textsuperscript{-1}), were obtained in treatment irrigated based on I\textsubscript{60} and lowest (0.61 t ha\textsuperscript{-1} cm\textsuperscript{-1}) at I\textsubscript{100} (Figure 4), because this treatment consumed less water than the other treatments.

This result was consistent with researches Ertek et al. [26] on summer squash and Al-Mefleh et al. [27] on melon that cited irrigation levels with higher amounts of water generally have lower WUE values. Also, result of the current study was supported by findings of Fabeiro et al. [28] on muskmelon, Ribas et al. [29] in melon and Zotarelli et al. [30] in zucchini squash.

**Figure 4.** Effect of irrigation levels on water-use efficiency (WUE)

According to these results, in region that the goal is to achieve higher yield, I\textsubscript{80}, and in region that goal is preserving water resources, I\textsubscript{60}, recommended.
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**4. Conclusions**

Since the water scarcity is a key factor for plant production under arid and semi-arid regions, thus achieving great values of WUE is more reasonable than maximum yield. WUE in I\textsubscript{60} was 45.9% greater than full irrigation treatment, while maximum value of TCY was only 35.3% greater than achieved yield in I\textsubscript{60} treatment. Our results showed that moderate DI (I\textsubscript{60}) did not reduce cantaloupe yield but led to increase WUE and TSS. According to these results, in region that the goal is to achieve higher yield, I\textsubscript{80}, and in region that goal is preserving water resources, I\textsubscript{60}, recommended.
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